



**Reference Material Data Sheet**

**for the selective extraction by**

**AQUA REGIA**

**of**

**SdAR-L2 Blended sediment**

**SdAR-M2 Metal-rich sediment**

**SdAR-H1 Metalliferous sediment**

**International Association of Geoanalysts**  
13 Belvedere Close, Keyworth, Nottingham NG12 5JF, UK  
e-mail [iageo.ltd@ntlworld.com](mailto:iageo.ltd@ntlworld.com)  
Telephone +44 (0)115 9375219

---

### **Introduction**

*The extraction of selected trace elements by aqua regia is widely used, especially within the mining industry, to support geochemical exploration programmes and the management of mining operations, including the monitoring of environmental impacts. The SdAR series of three reference materials was developed to support these activities. They were each characterised as reference materials for total element contents following their distribution as test materials in the GeoPT proficiency testing programme. As a result of the statistical analysis and evaluation of contributed data, total mass fractions of major and trace elements were published in the respective reference material data sheets in 2019 (<http://iageo.com/sdar-reference-materials/>).*

*To extend the usefulness of these materials, new measurement results for these three reference materials were commissioned from 16 laboratories. Each laboratory was requested to provide mass fractions derived by the aqua regia selective extraction procedures that were in routine use in their laboratories. The present data sheet is designed to provide reference values for laboratories that wish to use these materials in the routine application and development of the aqua regia technique for extractions undertaken at temperatures in the range 90 to 110 °C, reflecting common procedures employed by commercial laboratories servicing the mining and geochemical exploration industries.*

## Description of the reference materials

**SdAR-L2** is a blended material produced by the US Geological Survey under the direction of Dr Stephen Wilson and designed to resemble sediment sampled when monitoring low levels of environmental contamination associated with discharges from mining operations. The material was characterised for use as a reference material for the determination of total major and trace elements through the GeoPT37a:2015 round of the International Association of Geoanalysts' GeoPT proficiency testing scheme (Webb et al., 2015b).

**SdAR-M2** is a blended material produced by the US Geological Survey under the direction of Dr Stephen Wilson and designed to resemble sediment sampled when monitoring intermediate levels of environmental contamination associated with discharges from mining operations. The material was characterised for use as a reference material for the determination of total major and trace elements through the GeoPT36a:2015 round of the International Association of Geoanalysts' GeoPT proficiency testing scheme (Webb et al., 2015a).

**SdAR-H1** is a blended material produced by the US Geological Survey under the direction of Dr Stephen Wilson and designed to resemble sediment sampled when monitoring high levels of environmental contamination associated with discharges from mining operations. The material was characterised for use as a reference material for the determination of total major and trace elements through the GeoPT35a:2014 round of the International Association of Geoanalysts' GeoPT proficiency testing scheme (Webb et al., 2014).

An estimate of the mineralogical composition of these three materials can be found in **Appendix 1**.

## Aqua regia programme of measurement

Sixteen laboratories participated in this evaluation (**Appendix 2**). Each laboratory was sent two plastic vials each containing 5 g of the reference materials of interest (SdAR-L2, SdAR-M2 and SdAR-H1) as well as a 5 g split of a quality control certified reference material – ERM CC020 (Trace elements in contaminated river sediment). Laboratories were asked to follow their routine package of measurement based on aqua regia extraction usually with either an ICP-MS or ICP-AES finish and to report a single result for each distribution unit for relevant major and trace element mass fractions.

However, there were a number of detailed differences in the extraction procedures employed by these laboratories. For example, the mass of test portion taken for measurement varied from 0.4 to 2.0 g. Other differences included the sample to acid ratio, extraction time and temperature and even the composition of aqua regia itself (generally 3+1 : HCl+HNO<sub>3</sub>, but some laboratories used a 2+1 or a 1+1 mixture). It is noteworthy that although extraction temperatures varied from 85 to 160 °C, the majority of laboratories (over 75%) employed a lower temperature of 90 – 110 °C, and therefore the resultant reference values are considered appropriate for extractions in this temperature range. It might be expected that all these procedural differences would affect the measurement results. However, for many measurands, an assessment of the distribution of measurement results provided a clear and well-defined consensus value that can be considered to represent a reference value characteristic of the aqua regia extraction procedure undertaken by geochemical laboratories within the stated temperature range.

## Analysis of measurement results

Analysis of measurement results was based on procedures developed for the well-established GeoPT proficiency testing programme as described in the GeoPT protocol (IAG, 2020). However, it was necessary to modify these criteria to take account of the more limited number of independent aqua regia measurement results available in this study, given the provision of two results from each laboratory. In contrast to a regular GeoPT round, where participation is open to any laboratory irrespective of experience, measurement results for this study were provided by sixteen experienced laboratories following well-characterised procedures. As a consequence, there is an expectation that resultant datasets should be 'well-behaved' and characteristically unimodal with a clearly defined consensus based on a near-normal distribution of data. In these circumstances, the assessment of data for each measurand in each SdAR material also took account of, but was not in every case necessarily constrained by the following criteria to account for any incompatibility with the GeoPT protocol:

For a consensus value to be approved as **a reference value** (in addition to the routine evaluation of the quality of the data distribution):

- $n \geq 16$
- and  $\geq 80\%$  values for which  $|z| < 4$
- and  $\geq 60\%$  values for which  $|z| < 2$

For a consensus value to be approved as an **indicative value** (in addition to the routine evaluation of the quality of the data distribution):

$$n \geq 12$$

and  $\geq 60\%$  values for which  $|z| < 4$   
and  $\geq 40\%$  values for which  $|z| < 2$

In these criteria,  $n$  is the total number of valid measurement results available, noting that if all participating laboratories reported results on the two distribution units provided, the maximum value of  $n$  would be 32. The parameter  $z$  is the  $z$ -score for individual results, calculated as:

$$z = (x_i - x_{pt}) / \sigma_{pt}$$

where  $x_i$  is the measurement result,  $x_{pt}$  is the organisers' best estimate of the true value of a measurand, and  $\sigma_{pt}$  is the corresponding standard deviation for proficiency testing, based on 'data quality 1' criteria. This approach is described in detail in the GeoPT protocol (IAG, 2020).

These additional criteria were applied in part to compensate for the fact that the evaluation provided a maximum of sixteen sets of independent results and frequently substantially fewer were available for assessment. In contrast, a minimum of fifteen independent results are required by the GeoPT protocol for the recognition of assigned values (corresponding to reference values here) and a minimum of eight independent values for the recognition of provisional values (corresponding to indicative values here) in proficiency testing.

These criteria also ensured that the distribution of measurement results generally conformed to the fitness-for-purpose criterion recognised in the GeoPT protocol for this type of measurement. This was considered essential because there were a range of detailed procedural differences in the aqua regia method employed by participating laboratories.

In combination, these criteria were designed to ensure that reference and indicative values were provided for measurands only where sufficient results are available and the distribution of results appeared to be unaffected by specific procedural differences. However, in some cases, it was necessary to apply expert judgement in the interpretation of these criteria.

For trace elements where an aqua regia extraction is expected to provide 100% or near-100% recoveries (e.g., for As, Cu, Zn), a comparison was undertaken with corresponding SdAR total mass fraction values from the reference material data sheets. This showed that none of the aqua regia data exceeded bulk compositions to a statistically significant extent.

Reference and indicative values are provided for SdAR-L2 in **Table 1**, SdAR-M2 in **Table 2** and SdAR-H1 in **Table 3**.

## **Intended use**

These reference materials are designed for use by laboratories undertaking environmental monitoring of the major and trace element mass concentration fractions of sediments and equivalent matrices using the selective aqua regia extraction procedure undertaken within a temperature range of 90 – 110 °C that is commonly employed by geochemical laboratories. Intended uses include the calibration of a measurement system, the assessment of a measurement procedure, assigning values to other materials, and quality control. Note that each material may be used only for a single purpose in the same measurement process. For example, it must not be used for calibration and method validation at the same time. The listed reference values have not been assessed for higher temperature microwave aqua regia digestion procedures, where extraction efficiencies for some elements could be higher.

## **Minimum sample size**

After reviewing the range of test portion masses used to contribute to the present study, the minimum sample size recommended for use as a test portion is 0.5 g.

## **Period of validity**

Provided the storage and handling conditions are met, this reference material is not expected to deteriorate with time. On exposure to air, the material may absorb moisture, and instructions for handling must be followed.

## **Storage information**

*Store in a sealed container in a cool dry environment.*

## **Instructions for handling**

*Before any measurements are made, every portion of the test sample must be dried at  $105 \pm 5$  °C for at least 2 hours. Avoid contamination and cross-contamination of the test material.*

## **Metrological traceability and quality control**

*Traceability was not formally demonstrated for this reference material. However, traceability could be demonstrated through laboratories contributing measurement results to this study through their use of certified reference materials or standard solutions as calibrators or for data validation (although this information was not recorded in this study). Traceability is also implied by the overall agreement between datasets for individual elements/oxides submitted to this programme that led to the identification of a well-defined consensus value for reasons discussed further in Potts et al. (2019).*

*An evaluation of potential errors in consensus values derived from results provided by participating laboratories for the co-analysed certified reference material ERM CC020 (contaminated river sediment) proved to be more problematic. This reference material (ERM, 2012) is certified for ten trace elements (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Pb, V, Ni, Zn) but is certified only for use in the assessment of results obtained using the ISO standard aqua regia extraction procedure 11466:1995. However, the majority of laboratories participating in the current characterisation study followed their own procedures and not the prescriptive requirements of ISO 11466:1995.*

*For the record, an assessment of results for ERM CC020 showed statistical agreement between consensus values (this study) and the certified values for As, Cd, Co, Cu, Ni and Pb, but clear bias in equivalent data for Cr, Hg, V and Zn. Because of a mismatch in the procedures used by participating laboratories and those used in the certification of ERM CC020, it was not considered appropriate to draw any further conclusions from these observations.*

## **Safety information**

*Silicate powders containing heavy metals can cause harm especially if inhaled or in contact with the skin. User organisations must undertake a health and safety risk assessment and ensure that the appropriate procedures are followed in the handling and use of this material. Further details are available on the relevant Material Safety Data Sheet. The attention of users is particularly drawn to the relatively high concentration of trace elements in some of these reference materials.*

## **Further information**

*Further information about the SdAR materials, may be found in the relevant GeoPT proficiency testing reports Webb et al (2014), Webb et al (2015a) and Webb et al (2015b) which can be downloaded from <http://www.geoanalyst.org/geopt-previous-rounds/>.*

## **Legal notice – terms and conditions**

1. The IAG shall not be liable to the user of this material for loss (whether direct or indirect) of profits, business, anticipated savings or reputation or for any indirect or consequential loss or damage whatsoever even if previously advised thereof and whether arising from negligence, breach of these Terms and Conditions or howsoever occurring.
2. In any event, and notwithstanding anything contained in these Terms and Conditions, IAG's liability in contract, tort (including negligence, defamation or breach of statutory duty) or otherwise arising by reason of or in connection with these Terms and Conditions (including as a result of proficiency testing) shall be limited to the price paid for the material giving rise to such liability.
3. The IAG does not grant any warranties in relation to GeoPT products or the supply of analytical services or distribution of the proficiency test, and all other conditions, warranties, stipulations or other statements whatsoever, whether express or implied, by statute, at common law or otherwise howsoever, relating to the GeoPT products, analytical services or proficiency tests are hereby excluded. In particular, (but without limitation to the foregoing) no warranties are granted regarding the fitness for purpose, performance, use, quality or merchantability of the GeoPT products, whether express or implied, by statute, at common law or otherwise howsoever.

## **Revisions**

*This data sheet is Version 1.00. Any further revisions to this reference material data sheet will be made available on the IAGeo Limited web site ([www.iageo.com](http://www.iageo.com)).*

## Acknowledgements

The contribution of Stephen Wilson (USGS) in preparing and supplying these samples is gratefully acknowledged. The contract laboratories are thanked for providing the measurement results and supporting information on which this evaluation is based.

## Approvals

This reference material data sheet was approved on behalf of the International Association of Geoanalysts.

<b>Name</b>	<i>Philip J Potts</i> <i>Peter C Webb</i> <i>Jennifer M Cook</i>	<b>Date</b>	<b>27 September 2020</b>
-------------	--	-------------	--------------------------

## References

### **ERM (2010)**

Application note 1: Comparison of a measurement results with the certified value. European Commission – Joint Research Centre Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements (Geel, Belgium), 2pp.

### **ERM (2012)**

Certificate of analysis: ERM-CC020 Trace elements in contaminated river sediment. Bundesanstalt für Materialforschung und prüfung (BAM), Berlin, 3pp.

### **IAG (2020)**

Protocol for the operation of the GeoPT proficiency testing scheme (revised 2020). International Association of Geoanalysts (Keyworth, Nottingham), 18pp.

### **ISO 11466:1995**

Soil quality: extraction of trace elements soluble in aqua regia. International Organisation for Standardisation (Geneva), 6pp.

### **Potts P.J., Webb P.C. and Thompson M. (2019)**

GeoPT proficiency testing programme as a scheme for the certification of geological reference materials. *Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research*, 43, 409-418

### **Webb, P.C., Thompson, M., Potts, P.J and Wilson, S. (2014)**

GeoPT35A - an international proficiency test for analytical geochemistry laboratories - report on round 35A (Metalliferous sediment, SdAR-H1) / August 2014. International Association of Geoanalysts: Unpublished report.

### **Webb, P.C., Thompson, M., Potts, P.J and Wilson, S. (2015a)**

GeoPT36A - an international proficiency test for analytical geochemistry laboratories - report on round 36A (Metal-rich sediment, SdAR-M2) / January 2015. International Association of Geoanalysts: Unpublished report.

### **Webb, P.C., Thompson, M., Potts, P.J, Gowing, C.J.B. and Wilson, S. (2015b)**

GeoPT37A - an international proficiency test for analytical geochemistry laboratories - report on round 37A (Blended sediment, SdAR-L2) / July 2015. International Association of Geoanalysts: Unpublished report.

© 2020 International Association of Geoanalysts

**Table 1. Reference and indicative values for the aqua regia extraction (90 – 110 °C) of IAG SdAR-L2**

<b>IAG SdAR-L2 Blended Sediment</b>							
<i>Values for elemental/oxide mass fractions and uncertainties on a dried (105 °C) basis</i>							
<b>Reference values</b>				<b>Indicative values</b>			
<b>Oxide / element</b>	<b>Reference value mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>Uncertainty mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>n</b>	<b>Element</b>	<b>Indicative value g 100g<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>Uncertainty g 100g<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>n</b>
<b>Ba</b>	98	5	28	<b>TiO<sub>2</sub></b>	0.144	0.011	24
<b>Bi</b>	0.23	0.04	20	<b>MnO</b>	0.063	0.005	28
<b>Cd</b>	1.10	0.04	30	<b>CaO</b>	0.39	0.03	26
<b>Cr</b>	13.0	1.0	32	<b>Na<sub>2</sub>O</b>	0.083	0.004	26
<b>Cs</b>	0.555	0.056	18	<b>K<sub>2</sub>O</b>	0.27	0.02	26
<b>Cu</b>	52.2	1.0	32	<b>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub></b>	0.070	0.005	24
<b>Er</b>	2.83	0.26	12		<b>mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>	
<b>Hf</b>	0.31	0.04	18	<b>Ag</b>	3.3	0.7	22
<b>Hg</b>	0.310	0.023	24	<b>As</b>	17.0	1.3	32
<b>Ho</b>	1.00	0.05	12	<b>Be</b>	1.42	0.11	28
<b>In</b>	0.443	0.022	18	<b>Ce</b>	129	9	20
<b>La</b>	57.7	2.6	22	<b>Co</b>	5.0	0.5	32
<b>Li</b>	8.1	0.7	20	<b>Dy</b>	5.5	0.7	12
<b>Mo</b>	3.35	0.15	30	<b>Eu</b>	0.69	0.08	10
<b>Ni</b>	12.9	0.6	32	<b>Ga</b>	3.8	0.5	20
<b>Pb</b>	168	4	32	<b>Gd</b>	7.2	1.1	12
<b>Rb</b>	14.8	1.0	22	<b>Lu</b>	0.33	0.04	12
<b>Sc</b>	2.52	0.17	22	<b>Pr</b>	14.4	1.5	10
<b>Sr</b>	14.8	0.8	24	<b>Sb</b>	20.0	1.9	30
<b>Tl</b>	0.47	0.05	22	<b>Sm</b>	8.8	0.6	12
<b>U</b>	2.01	0.17	22	<b>Sn</b>	1.5	0.2	26
<b>V</b>	26.6	1.6	30	<b>Tb</b>	0.91	0.12	14
<b>W</b>	0.60	0.04	20	<b>Te</b>	0.39	0.07	20
<b>Y</b>	24.0	1.7	22	<b>Th</b>	18.6	1.9	22
<b>Yb</b>	2.45	0.29	16				
<b>Zn</b>	195	4	32				

**Reference value:** Value that complies with the status of an ‘assigned value’ in the GeoPT protocol, taking account of the criteria listed in this document.

**Indicative value:** Value that complies with the status of a ‘provisional value’ in the GeoPT protocol, taking account of the criteria listed in this document.

**Uncertainty:** Robust standard deviation of the mean or median expanded by a coverage factor of 2 and rounded up. The number of degrees of freedom has been taken as n/2 to account for duplicate measurements in the data set. The quoted uncertainty is the best estimate of the 95% confidence limit.

**n:** number of measurement results noting that n includes duplicate measurements from individual laboratories.



**Table 2. Reference and indicative values for the aqua regia extraction (90 – 110 °C) of IAG SdAR-M2**

<b>IAG SdAR-M2 Metal-rich Sediment</b>							
<i>Values for elemental/oxide mass fractions and uncertainties on a dried (105 °C) basis</i>							
<b>Reference values</b>				<b>Indicative values</b>			
<b>Oxide / element</b>	<b>Reference value g 100g<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>Uncertainty g 100g<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>n</b>	<b>Element</b>	<b>Indicative value g 100g<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>Uncertainty g 100g<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>n</b>
<b>MnO</b>	0.118	0.005	28	<b>TiO<sub>2</sub></b>	0.064	0.005	24
<b>CaO</b>	0.41	0.02	26	<b>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>T</b>	2.19	0.07	30
	<b>mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>		<b>Na<sub>2</sub>O</b>	0.067	0.003	26
<b>As</b>	84	3	32	<b>K<sub>2</sub>O</b>	0.30	0.02	26
<b>Ba</b>	109	5	28	<b>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub></b>	0.069	0.005	22
<b>Be</b>	4.70	0.25	28		<b>mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>	
<b>Bi</b>	1.03	0.08	22	<b>Ag</b>	16.3	1.9	22
<b>Cd</b>	5.11	0.12	32	<b>B</b>	20.0	1.3	18
<b>Ce</b>	90	6	20	<b>Cr</b>	7.9	0.4	32
<b>Co</b>	12.8	0.5	32	<b>Dy</b>	3.5	0.5	12
<b>Cs</b>	0.79	0.07	18	<b>Er</b>	1.83	0.25	12
<b>Cu</b>	245	6	32	<b>Eu</b>	0.58	0.08	10
<b>Hf</b>	0.15	0.02	18	<b>Ga</b>	3.21	0.43	20
<b>Hg</b>	1.40	0.14	26	<b>Gd</b>	4.6	0.6	12
<b>In</b>	2.06	0.11	18	<b>Ho</b>	0.62	0.06	10
<b>La</b>	41.1	1.7	22	<b>Nb</b>	3.6	0.6	20
<b>Li</b>	12.9	0.8	20	<b>Sb</b>	99	7	30
<b>Lu</b>	0.208	0.012	12	<b>Se</b>	3.8	0.6	22
<b>Mo</b>	13.4	0.6	30	<b>Sm</b>	5.8	0.5	12
<b>Ni</b>	47.0	1.6	32	<b>Tb</b>	0.60	0.07	12
<b>Pb</b>	800	20	32	<b>Th</b>	11.3	1.2	22
<b>Rb</b>	15.8	0.9	22	<b>V</b>	14.9	1.1	30
<b>Sc</b>	1.91	0.12	22	<b>Zr</b>	4.8	0.6	20
<b>Sn</b>	1.23	0.14	25				
<b>Sr</b>	18.8	0.7	24				
<b>Te</b>	1.91	0.17	20				
<b>Tl</b>	1.94	0.16	26				
<b>U</b>	1.46	0.11	22				
<b>W</b>	1.10	0.12	22				
<b>Y</b>	15.4	0.7	22				
<b>Yb</b>	1.56	0.17	16				
<b>Zn</b>	792	20	32				

**Reference value:** Value that complies with the status of an ‘assigned value’ in the GeoPT protocol, taking account of the criteria listed in this document.

**Indicative value:** Value that complies with the status of a ‘provisional value’ in the GeoPT protocol, taking account of the criteria listed in this document.

**Uncertainty:** Robust standard deviation of the mean or median expanded by a coverage factor of 2 and rounded up. The number of degrees of freedom has been taken as n/2 to account for duplicate measurements in the data set. The quoted uncertainty is the best estimate of the 95% confidence limit.

**n:** number of measurement results noting that n includes duplicate measurements from individual laboratories.

**Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>T:** Total extractable iron expressed as Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

**Table 3. Reference and indicative values for the aqua regia extraction (90 – 110 °C) of IAG SdAR-H1**

<b>IAG SdAR-H1 Metalliferous Sediment</b>							
<i>Values for elemental/oxide mass fractions and uncertainties on a dried (105 °C) basis</i>							
<b>Reference values</b>				<b>Indicative values</b>			
<b>Oxide / element</b>	<b>Reference value g 100g<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>Uncertainty g 100g<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>n</b>	<b>Element</b>	<b>Indicative value g 100g<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>Uncertainty g 100g<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>n</b>
<b>MnO</b>	0.485	0.017	28	<b>TiO<sub>2</sub></b>	0.057	0.004	24
<b>CaO</b>	1.17	0.04	26	<b>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>T</b>	5.76	0.26	30
<b>Na<sub>2</sub>O</b>	0.054	0.002	26	<b>MgO</b>	1.09	0.04	26
	<b>mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>			<b>mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>	<b>mg kg<sup>-1</sup></b>	
<b>As</b>	414	13	32	<b>Ag</b>	85	5	22
<b>Bi</b>	5.06	0.45	22	<b>B</b>	88	4	20
<b>Cd</b>	25.4	1.0	32	<b>Ba</b>	164	13	28
<b>Ce</b>	63.7	4.0	20	<b>Be</b>	21.6	1.5	28
<b>Co</b>	57.6	3.1	32	<b>Dy</b>	2.48	0.19	12
<b>Cr</b>	18.6	1.2	32	<b>Er</b>	1.16	0.11	12
<b>Cs</b>	2.06	0.19	20	<b>Eu</b>	0.75	0.07	10
<b>Cu</b>	1190	30	32	<b>Gd</b>	3.60	0.26	11
<b>Ga</b>	5.05	0.42	20	<b>Hf</b>	0.070	0.014	16
<b>Hg</b>	7.1	0.6	30	<b>Ho</b>	0.434	0.015	10
<b>In</b>	10.5	0.9	18	<b>Lu</b>	0.13	0.01	12
<b>La</b>	31.5	2.3	22	<b>Nb</b>	1.89	0.17	20
<b>Li</b>	41.5	2.9	20	<b>Pb</b>	4035	175	32
<b>Mo</b>	62.9	2.9	30	<b>Pd</b>	0.41	0.04	8
<b>Ni</b>	222	6	32	<b>Pr</b>	7.6	0.7	10
<b>Re</b>	0.022	0.002	14	<b>Rb</b>	26.0	1.9	22
<b>S</b>	4745	145	18	<b>Sb</b>	485	36	29
<b>Sc</b>	3.10	0.17	22	<b>Sm</b>	4.34	0.22	12
<b>Se</b>	18.8	1.3	26	<b>Tb</b>	0.44	0.07	12
<b>Sn</b>	1.77	0.16	24	<b>Th</b>	10.9	1.0	24
<b>Sr</b>	50.1	2.0	24	<b>Tl</b>	9.7	1.0	26
<b>Te</b>	9.8	0.7	20	<b>Tm</b>	0.16	0.02	8
<b>U</b>	2.01	0.14	22	<b>V</b>	35.0	2.3	30
<b>W</b>	3.91	0.41	22	<b>Zr</b>	3.60	0.37	20
<b>Y</b>	11.2	0.4	22				
<b>Yb</b>	0.99	0.09	16				
<b>Zn</b>	3780	75	32				

**Reference value:** Value that complies with the status of an ‘assigned value’ in the GeoPT protocol, taking account of the criteria listed in this document.

**Indicative value:** Value that complies with the status of a ‘provisional value’ in the GeoPT protocol, taking account of the criteria listed in this document.

**Uncertainty:** Robust standard deviation of the mean or median expanded by a coverage factor of 2 and rounded up. The number of degrees of freedom has been taken as n/2 to account for duplicate measurements in the data set. The quoted uncertainty is the best estimate of the 95% confidence limit.

**n:** number of measurement results noting that n includes duplicate measurements from individual laboratories.

**Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>T:** Total extractable iron expressed as Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.



## Appendix 1. Mineralogical details

<b>SdAR-L2 – Mineralogical composition (XRD)</b>			
<b>Mineral</b>	<b>Normalised %</b>	<b>Mineral</b>	<b>Normalised %</b>
Quartz	43.6	Pyrite	0.2
K-feldspar	26.2	Sphalerite	0
Plagioclase		Total non-clay	95.4
–albite	18.9	<b>Clays</b>	
–bytownite	4.2	Kaolinite (disordered)	1
–anorthite	0	Illite	3.5
Calcite	0.4	Muscovite	0
Siderite	0.2	Total clays	4.6
Amphibole	1.9	TOTAL	100

<b>SdAR-M2 – Mineralogical composition (XRD)</b>			
<b>Mineral</b>	<b>Normalised %</b>	<b>Mineral</b>	<b>Normalised %</b>
Quartz	36.5	Pyrite	0.1
K-feldspar	33.9	Sphalerite	0
Plagioclase		Total non-clay	93.6
–albite	17.3	<b>Clays</b>	
–bytownite	2.3	Kaolinite (disordered)	0.7
–anorthite	1.4	Illite	5.7
Calcite	0.6	Muscovite	0
Siderite	0.5	Total clays	6.4
Amphibole	0.9	TOTAL	100

<b>SdAR-H1 – Mineralogical composition (XRD)</b>			
<b>Mineral</b>	<b>Normalised %</b>	<b>Mineral</b>	<b>Normalised %</b>
Quartz	39.7	Pyrite	0.3
K-feldspar	20.2	Sphalerite	0.3
Plagioclase		Total non-clay	74.2
–albite	5.7	<b>Clays</b>	
–bytownite	0.8	Kaolinite (disordered)	1.4
–anorthite	3.6	Illite	20.7
Calcite	1.1	Muscovite	3.6
Siderite	0.9	Total clays	25.8
Amphibole	1.5	TOTAL	100

*XRD data courtesy of Dr Stephen Wilson (USGS)*

## Appendix 2. Laboratories participating in the aqua regia study

Laboratory	Address
Analytisches Zentrum Berlin-Adlershof GmbH (AZBA)	Berlin, Germany
Activation Laboratories Ltd	Ancaster, Ontario, Canada
Agat Laboratories	Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada
AGROLAB (Agar und Umwelt GmbH)	Kiel, Germany
ALS Chemex	North Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada
ALS Life Sciences Limited	Hawarden, Flintshire, UK
ALS Perth	Malaga, WA, Australia
Bundesanstalt für Materialforschung und -prüfung (BAM)	Berlin, Germany
Bureau Veritas	Vancouver, Canada
Eurofins Labtium Oy	Kuopio, Finland
Intertek Genalysis	Maddington, WA, Australia
OMAC Laboratories Limited	Loughrea, Co. Galway, Ireland
SGS North America	Denver, CO, USA
SGS Perth	Perth, WA, Australia
SGS Townsville	Townsville, Qld, Australia
SYNLAB Analytics & Services	Spremberg, Germany